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About the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston

- Federal Reserve System
  - Board of Governors (Washington DC)
  - Regional reserve banks, (12 including Boston)
  - Conducts monetary policy, bank supervision, community engagement
  - Dual Mandate: maximum employment and stable prices (inflation)

- Regional and Community Development (R&CO)
  - Focus on lower income populations

- New England Public Policy Center
  - Economic Research; have analyzed fiscal conditions in New England
Agenda

- Employment Snapshot of CT

- Addressing systemic issues by taking concrete steps to:
  - Revitalize cities through cross-sector collaboration
  - Upgrade low wage work
  - Enhance access to affordable and high quality child care
## Employment Growth Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual Long-Term Trend</th>
<th>Annual Growth Post-Recession</th>
<th>Last 12 Months (December 2017-December 2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Annual Long-term growth refers to average year-over-year (YoY) growth between 1984-2018. Annual Growth Post-Recession refers to average YoY growth between 2009-2018. Last 12 months refer to YoY growth between December 2017 and December 2018.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/Haver Analytics
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Net Job Growth

Net Employment Change by Industry, December 2007-December 2018

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/Haver Analytics
Employment Growth by Industry

Percent Change December 2017-December 2018

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/Haver Analytics
Employment Growth by Industry

Percent Change December 2017-December 2018

- Financial Activities
- Prof. Services
- Education and Health
- Leisure and Hospitality
- Other Services
- Government

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/Haver Analytics
Notes: U-6 includes total unemployed, plus all marginally attached workers, plus total employed part-time for economic reasons, as a percent of the civilian labor force, plus all marginally attached workers.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/NBER/Haver Analytics
## Unemployment Rates in New England by Metropolitan Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>Dec-17</th>
<th>Dec-18</th>
<th>VT</th>
<th>NH</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>ME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danbury</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwich-New London-Westerly</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangor</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewiston-Auburn</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland-South Portland</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnstable Town</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston-Cambridge-Nashua</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leominster-Gardner</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bedford</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsfield</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover-Durham</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence-Warwick</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington-South Burlington</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics/Haver Analytics/New England Public Policy Center
Net Domestic Migration
Since 2010 more negative in CT than the region

Net Domestic Migration Rates in persons per Thousand Population Members

Source: Census Bureau/Haver Analytics
Median Family income Relative to U.S Median Family Income

Source: Census/ACS 5 year estimates
WORKING CITIES CHALLENGE IN NEW ENGLAND

- Competition for three-year grant funds. Grants are modest in size ($400–$475k) and contributed by private, public and philanthropic partners (no funds from the Federal Reserve)
- Over $10 million in award funds contributed by 63 funders to date
- State-by-state model. Current states: Massachusetts (2 rounds), Rhode Island, and Connecticut
- Expansion to northern New England states (VT, NH, ME) currently in planning with adaptations for rural areas

MASSACHUSETTS

Round 1
- Lawrence
- Fitchburg
- Chelsea
- Holyoke

Round 2
- Haverhill
- Lowell
- Springfield
- Pittsfield

RHODE ISLAND

- Cranston
- Newport
- Providence

CONNECTICUT

- Danbury
- East Hartford
- Hartford
- Middletown
- Waterbury
WCC AT WORK: LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS

New CEO economic development group: Lawrence Partnership. Lawrence WCC effort and Partnership together tackling city-wide local hiring campaign

- Major new family support system created for schools has counseled, referred, and trained nearly 1,000 parents to date.
- Over 250 parents placed in jobs; 25 percent average increase in wages
- Launched the state’s first Pay-for-Success immigrant jobs effort outside of Boston
- New parent engagement model piloted with 650 parents, now being adopted across school system (9,500 families)
- School turnaround successful so far – significantly moving the needle on graduation rate
- CEO-to-CEO effort to improve healthcare and manufacturing hiring involving 7 manufacturing and 6 healthcare employers
- WCC implementation grant leverages more than $1.6 million in direct philanthropic and public-sector funds
CT WORKING CITIES IMPLEMENTATION CITIES

- Connecticut Working Cities Challenge winners—Danbury, East Hartford, Hartford, Middletown, and Waterbury—each received $450,000 in 2018
- Initiatives focus on workforce development for lower-income residents
- Cities are addressing difficult issues, including racial equity

**DANBURY**
‘DanburyWORKS’ is addressing barriers (language and childcare) to help move residents into job and educational training programs to improve economic self-sufficiency

**E. HARTFORD**
E. Hartford ‘CONNects’ brings together employers, workforce intermediaries, job seekers to create a career development culture that leads to family-sustaining employment

**HARTFORD**
‘South Hartford Young Adult Employment Zone’ focuses on poverty and the need for an educated workforce to attract and retain employers to the city and region

**MIDDLETOWN**
‘Middletown Works’ is developing meaningful employment opportunities for single parents and provide them with training and resources to obtain a living wage and career-sustaining work

**WATERBURY**
‘River Baldwin ASPIRA’ realigning and relocating job training services and revising childcare decision-making policies to be more equitable in the South End neighborhood
Strategies for Improving Employment Outcomes

- Upgrade job
- Remove barriers
- Upskill worker
Who makes less than $15/hour in CT?

Connecticut

Who makes less than $15/hr in CT?
- 31% of all workers
- 41% of Black workers
- 54% of Latino workers

Of all less-than-$15/hr workers...
- 49% work in retail, health care, or restaurants
- 57% are at least 30 years old
- 61% are full-time workers

Children?
- 22% of children have a parent making less than $15/hr

Source: Author’s analysis of 2014 American Community Survey data.
Good jobs matter – What can we do to generate more?

- Significant sectors of the population earn subsistence wages or less than $15/h.
- Workers in low-wage jobs have less access to employer-provided healthcare, retirement and paid sick leave benefits; also lack predictable schedules.
- Lower-wage jobs: detrimental impacts on individual - costly to communities and states.
- Multiple strategies to increase job quality: employer practices; lender and investor-imposed standards; community benefit agreements and economic development incentives; public policy (e.g. paid family medical leave).
- Private and philanthropic sectors at the forefront and experimenting.
Insufficient Response to Contemporary Norm of “All Parents Working” in the U.S.

Labor Force Participation Rates of Females, 25-54

Costs and wages – Child Care in CT

Full-time Infant Care as Percent of Family Income

- Center (single parent): 9.0%
- Family Child Care (single parent): 12.8%
- Center (married couple): 33.9%
- Family Child Care (married couple): 48.4%

Median Annual Income of Early Education Workforce

- Kindergarten Teacher - Annual Median: $76,090
- Preschool Teacher - Annual Median: $34,480
- Child Care Teacher - Annual Median: $24,690

Why This Matters: Inadequate Early Child Care Affects the Economic and Employment Advancement of Working Parents

- A study of working parents of children under 3 estimates economic burdens attributable to inadequate child care as costing U.S. employers $12.7 billion annually (Belfield, 2018)
- In Louisiana survey, 14% of respondents turned down promotion with children under 5 (women were 6 times as likely) (Davis et al., 2017)
- A study of recently hired welfare recipients found pervasive absenteeism linked to child care and transportation (Holzer et al., 2001)
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